

ORIGINAL
RECEIVED

SEP 10 2001

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
OFFICE OF THE SECRETARYBefore the
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)

C.F. Communications Corp., et al.)

Complainants,)

v.)

Century Telephone of Wisconsin,)
Inc., et al.)

Defendants)

EB Docket No. 01-99 /
File No. E-93-45To: Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg
and Ascom Communications, Inc. n/k/a Ascom Holding, Inc.UNITED TELEPHONE COMPANY OF FLORIDA'S
SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO COMPLAINANT'S FIRST SET OF REQUESTS
FOR ADMISSION OF FACTS AND THE GENUINENESS OF DOCUMENTS

United Telephone Company of Florida, the Defendant in File No. E-93-45, by its attorneys and pursuant to the directive contained in the Presiding Judge's Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 01M-44, released August 31, 2001 ("MO&O"), hereby responds to Admission Request Nos. 25 through 32 of the "Complainant's First Set of Requests for Admission of Facts and Genuineness of Documents" propounded by the Complainant, Ascom Communications, Inc. n/k/a Ascom Holding, Inc., on July 18, 2001. The Defendant's responses are as follows:

General Matter: Pursuant to the limitation contained in the MO&O, the Defendant is providing responses for the time period commencing July 20, 1988 and ending on the date the Complainant sold its payphones (represented by the Complainant to be approximately November of 1993).

No. of Copies rec'd
List ABCDE

016

Request No. 25: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were Sprint-owned payphones that were both (a) located within buildings or premises closed to the public for at least part of each day and (b) "public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 25: Denied. Defendant-owned payphones were located within buildings or premises closed to the public for at least part of each day in compliance with the Defendant's General Exchange Tariff.

Request No. 26: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were Sprint-owned payphones located at gas stations that were "public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 26: Denied. Defendant-owned payphones were located at gas stations in compliance with the Defendant's General Exchange Tariff.

Request No. 27: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1987, there were Sprint-owned payphones located at pizza parlors that were "public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 27: Denied. Defendant-owned payphones were located at pizza parlors in compliance with the Defendant's General Exchange Tariff.

Request No. 28: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were Sprint-owned payphones located in airports that were "semi-public" payphones under the Commission

definition.

Response to Request No. 28: Denied. Defendant-owned payphones were located in airports in compliance with the Defendant's General Exchange Tariff.

Request No. 29: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, Sprint allowed and/or did not prohibit directory listings on the phone lines to which Sprint-owned payphones were connected, irrespective of whether such payphones were "public" or "semi-public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 29: Denied. The Defendant provided directory listings in accordance with its General Exchange Tariff.

Request No. 30: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were Sprint-owned payphones that both (a) had directory listings assigned to them and (b) were "public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 30: Denied. The tariff prohibits directory listings on public payphones. See General Exchange Tariff Section A7, 1st through 3rd revised sheet 2, A.4.

Request No. 31: Admit that, during the time period from 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were Sprint-owned payphones that both (a) had extensions connected to them and (b) were "public" payphones under the Commission definition.

Response to Request No. 31: Denied. The tariff prohibits extensions on public payphones. See General Exchange Tariff, Section A7, 1st through 3rd revised sheet 2, A.3.d.

Request No. 32: Admit that, during the time period 1987 through April 14, 1997, there were never any Sprint-owned payphones that were subscribed to telephone service that was "public" under the Commission definition and for which the premises owner paid Sprint a recurring fee.

Response to Request No. 32: Denied.

Blooston, Mordkofsky, Dickens,
Duffy & Prendergast
2120 L Street, N.W., Suite 300
Washington, D.C. 20037
Tel: (202) 659-0830

Respectfully submitted,
**United Telephone Company of
Florida**

By: 

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Gerard J. Duffy
Robert M. Jackson
Mary J. Sisak
Its Attorneys

Dated: September 10, 2001

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 10, 2001 a copy of the foregoing was served by first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, on the following parties:

The Honorable Arthur I. Steinberg
Administrative Law Judge
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Room 1-C861
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand Delivered)

Magalie Roman Salas, Secretary
Office of the Commission Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W. Room TW-B204
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand Delivered)

Tejal Mehta, Esquire
Market Disputes Resolution Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Room 5-C817
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand Delivered)

Trent B. Harkrader, Esquire
Investigations and Hearings Division
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Room 3-A440
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand Delivered)

David H. Solomon, Chief
Enforcement Bureau
Federal Communications Commission
445 - 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
(Hand Delivered)

Albert H. Kramer, Esquire
Katherine J. Henry
Robert S. Felger
Ted Hammerman
Charles V. Mehler III
Dickstein, Shapiro, Morin & Oshinsky, LLP
2101 L Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20037


Michael Thompson, Esquire
Wright & Talisman, P.C.
1200 G Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20005

John M. Goodman, Esquire
Verizon
1300 I Street, N.W., Suite 400 West
Washington, D.C. 20005

Sherry A. Ingram, Esquire
Verizon
1320 North Court House Road
8th Floor
Arlington, Virginia 22201

William A. Brown, Esquire
Davida M. Grant, Esquire
Southwestern Bell Telephone Company
1401 I Street, N.W., Suite 1100
Washington, D.C. 20005

Angela M. Brown, Esquire
Theodore Kingsley, Esquire
Bell South Telecommunications Inc.
675 West Peachtree Street
Suite 4300
Atlanta, Georgia 30375



Douglas W. Everett